Final Conclusions

Recapitulating the discussions, studies and empirical research results presented and elaborated in the book, it must be stressed that the firm-level internationalisation process is expressed in various paths, pathways, trajectories or patterns, which are expressed in different scope, scale and time of internationalisation, as well as different entry modes or different strategies. The following conclusions can be assumed as more or less typical for business in Visegrad countries:

- majority of firms started their internationalisation efforts after the economic transformation,
- as for the territorial scope of internationalisation, it is very diverse among investigated firms in V4 countries, however according to the national statistics the main target market, for majority of the studied firms, is the EU,
- the traditional pathway of stages model of internationalisation is dominant, however nowadays firms go international earlier than in the past, they often do this at the time of their establishment or one or two years later (born globals),
- concerning the entry modes of the surveyed firms, most of them use exporting modes, especially direct exporting.

Based on the V4 survey conducted of a random sample of 1149 (n = 892, valid complete questionnaires for statistical calculations) businesses from four Visegrad countries, the following **research hypotheses** were verified (the results are not representative for the whole population of V4 firms):

No.	Hypothesis	CZ	HU	PL	SK
		n = 429	n = 104	n = 216	n = 143
H1:	In general, firms from V4	supported	no	supported	supported
	countries implement		significance		
	traditional process approach				
	towards their				
	internationalisation.				
H2:	Firms, from V4 countries,	supported	rejected	not	supported
	operating in high-tech			supported	
	industries are more likely to				
	accelerate their process of				
	internationalisation.				
H3:	Micro and small firms, from	not	rejected	rejected	supported
	V4 countries, entry mainly	supported			
	other V4 and CEEC markets.				

226 Krzysztof Wach

H4:	Medium and large firms,	not	rejected	confirmed	rejected
	from V4 countries, entry	supported			
	mainly other EU markets.				
H5:	SMEs, from V4 countries,	supported	rejected	no	rejected
	apply mainly ethnocentric			significance	
	and regiocentric strategies of				
	internationalisation.				
H6:	Large firms, from V4	not	rejected	no	rejected
	countries, apply mainly	supported		significance	
	polycentric and global				
	strategies of				
	internationalisation.				
H7:	Firms, from V4 countries,	not	no	confirmed	rejected
	operating in industries where	supported	significance		
	there is high competitiveness,				
	are more likely to use more				
	advanced entry modes (i.e.				
	contractual and investment				
	modes).				
H8:	Firms, from V4 countries,	not	no	no	confirmed
	operating in hi-tech	supported	significance	significance	
	industries, are more likely to				
	use more advanced entry				
	modes (i.e. contractual and				
	investment modes).				
H9:	The higher the international	not	confirmed	no	confirmed
	motivation and openness of	supported		significance	
	the top management team of				
	the firms from V4 countries,				
	the more advanced entry				
	modes (i.e. contractual and				
	investment modes) are used.				
H10:	The higher the level of	not	confirmed	confirmed	confirmed
	knowledge and experience on	supported			
	international markets of the				
	top management team of the				
	firms from V4 countries is,				
	the more advanced entry				
	modes (i.e. contractual and				
	investment modes) are used.				